QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION As of 2016 December 31

Department: State Universities and Colleges (SUCs)
Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations
Agency: Southern Luzon State University

Operating Unit: N/A

Organization Code (UACS): 080410000000

Particulars	Ì		F	hysical T	argets			Phys	Variance	T			
	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	as of December 31 2016	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
A he	10	_					<u> </u>				(0.00.10.11)	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Operations						·						-	
AFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	000003010000000									<u> </u>	·		
Higher Education Services													
Quantity			<u></u> .										
Total number of graduates Quality		0	2720	55	39	2814	0	2578	105	39	2722	-92	Revision of accomplishm report from 2 - 3rd Quarter graduates we made considering the Bacc graduates we not deducte from the previous reports.
Percentage of graduates that are in the priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure		0%	45%	45%	45%	45%	0%	51.32%	52.38%	23.08%	50.96%	5.96%	Re-evaluation priority cours were recomputed using Bacc graduates on

Particulars		P cal Targets						Phy	Variance	1			
	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	as of December 31 2016	Remarks
exams by the SUC graduates /national	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
average percentage passing across all discipline covered by the SUC		153%	153%	153%	153%	153%	122,13%	123.58%	108.84%	158,49%	152,19%	-0.81%	
Percentage of programs accredited Level 1		0%	35.50%	35.5%	0%	71%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-71%	Variance for Ja - September were negative because SLSL was given by AACCUP Accreditation schedule only this November, 2016.
Percentage of programs accredited Level 2		0%	25%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-50%	Only 5 programs will be accredited this November, 2016 (4th Quarter) and the other 10 Programs were scheduled next 2017.
Percentage of programs accredited Level 3		0%	26%	26%	0%	52%	0%	0%	0%	0%	D%		Result of the Accreditation conducted last November will be released by 2017. (Attached herewith is the certification
Percentage of programs accredited Level 4		0%	0%	17%	0%	17%	0%	0%	00/				from AACCUP)
Timeliness					- 70	17 70	U%	U%	0%	0%	0%	-17%	
Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame		0%	91%	0%	0%	91%	0% §	97.24%	99.17%	0%	97.31%	6.31%	

y t

				cal 7	argets		<u>L.</u>	Phy	Acce	ents	Variance		
Particulars	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	as of December 31 2016	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES	3 000003020000000)									(0.0.10.11)	10	14
Advanced Education Services					<u> </u>				 -	ļ			
Quantity													
Total number of graduates		O	38	0	30	68	0	28	2	O	30	-38	Majority of the GS students were employed and have their primary functions to perform as employee thus they find it difficult to fully concentrate and give focus on their graduate
Quality													studies.
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation		0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	
Timeliness													
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or bad IFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES	0000030300000000	96%	0%	0%	96%	96%	0%	97.89%	0%	96.46%	97.06%	1.06%	Evaluation shall be conducted in April because of the change of University Calendar. Hence, accomplishment shall be reported in the 2nd Quarter.
Research Services		<u> </u>											
Quantify										- -			
													
												}	As of today,

Particulars	UACS CODE	F cal Targets						Phy	Variance	1			
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	as of December 31 2016	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
No. of research studies completed		2	1	4	3	10	.0	2	1	0%	3	-7	most of researchers ar still on-going.
Quality			Į Į									20-00-, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	J sum are games.
Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 years		44%	52%	60%	100%	100%	68.96%	46.34%	53.66%	56.41%	56.41%	-43.59%	
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, national, or international		40%	60%	75%	90%	90%	75.86%	41.46%	56.10%	50.00%	50.00%	-40.00%	
Timeliness											37.4K. **		
Percentage of research projects conducted or completed on schedule/within the original project projected time frame		47%	77%	87%	97%	97%	68.96%	46.34%	48.78%	90.91%	90.91%	-6.09%	
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES	000003040000000												
Technical Advisory Extension Services				-									
Quantity	_												
Number of person trained weighted by length of training		394	600	659	200	1853	632.25	676	797.25	982.75	3088.25	1235.25	
Number of persons provided with technical advice		19	15	27	5	66	33	15	25	21	94	28	
Quality													
Percentage of trainees who rate the training courses as good or better		90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	93.90%	94.33%	97.22%	96.63	95.74%	5.74%	We use the "Better & Best" for rating
Percentage clients who rate the advisory services as good or better		95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	5%	"Better & Best"
Timeliness													
													Accomplishment for the 2nd Quarter was reported only this 4th Quarter due to late submission of
Percentage of request for training responded										ĺ			activity report

Particulars	UACS CODE		F	cal T	il Targets		Phy		Acco	mplishme	ents	Variance	
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	tst Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	as of December 31 2016	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
to within 3 days of request		95%	95%	95%	95%	. 95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	5%	different concerned offices.
Percentage of request technical advice that are responded to w/in 3 days		95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	5%	
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better		95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	92.42%	92.63%	- 96.93%	93.62%	94.04%	-0.96%	Recumputation was made because we used the "Betler and Best rating"

Prepared By:

MOSES T. MACALINAO Director, Planning and Dev't Office

Budget Officer

In coordination with:

Approved By:

Planning Services Head/Planning Officer

Financial Services Head/Budget Officer

Agency Head/Department Secreth niversity President

Date:

Date:

Date:

This report was generated using the Unified Reporting System